

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration in view of the arguments set forth fully below. Claims 1-69 were previously pending in this Application. By the above amendments, Claims 1, 3, 13, 15, 17, 29, 33, 43, 48, 58, 62 and 64 have been amended and Claims 2, 7, 16, 21, 32, 37, 47, 52, 63, and 67 have been canceled. Accordingly, Claims 1, 3-6, 8-15, 17-20, 22-31, 33-36, 38-46, 48-51, 53-62, 64-66, and 68-69 are now pending in the application.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Within the Office Action, Claims 1-6, 8-11, 14-20, 22-25, 28-35, 38-50, 53-61, 63-65, 68, and 69 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al. (Design and Implementation of DV based video over RTP. Proc. *Packet Video2000*, 2000) (hereinafter “Ogawa”). Within the Office Action it is also indicated that Claims 7, 13, 21, 27, 37, 52, 62 and 67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

By the above amendment, the independent Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations from the objected to Claim 7 and the intervening Claim 2, and the Claims 2 and 7 have been canceled. Accordingly, the independent Claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 3-6, 8-11 and 14 are all dependent on the independent Claim 1. As discussed above, the independent Claim 1 is allowable. Accordingly, Claims 3-6, 8-11 and 14 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the objected to Claim 13 has also been amended to be in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, the independent Claim 13 is allowable.

By the above amendment, the independent Claim 15 has been amended to include the limitations from the objected to Claim 21 and the intervening Claim 16, and the Claims 16 and 21 have been canceled. Accordingly, the independent Claim 15 is allowable.

Claims 16-20, 22-25 and 28 are all dependent on the independent Claim 15. As discussed above, the independent Claim 15 is allowable. Accordingly, Claims 16-20, 22-25 and 28 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the independent Claim 29 has been amended to include the limitations from the objected to Claim 37 and the intervening Claim 32, and the Claims 32 and 37 have been canceled. Accordingly, the independent Claim 29 is allowable.

Claims 30, 31, 33-35 and 38-42 are all dependent on the independent Claim 29. As discussed above, the independent Claim 29 is allowable. Accordingly, Claims 30, 31, 33-35 and 38-42 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the independent Claim 43 has been amended to include the limitations from the objected to Claim 52 and the intervening Claim 47, and the Claims 47 and 52 have been canceled. Accordingly, the independent Claim 43 is allowable.

Claims 44-46, 48-50 and 53-57 are all dependent on the independent Claim 43. As discussed above, the independent Claim 43 is allowable. Accordingly, Claims 44-46, 48-50 and 53-57 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the independent Claim 58 has been amended to include the limitations from the objected to Claim 67 and the intervening Claim 63, and the Claims 63 and 67 have been canceled. Accordingly, the independent Claim 58 is allowable.

Claims 59-61, 64, 65, 68 and 69 are all dependent on the independent Claim 58. As discussed above, the independent Claim 58 is allowable. Accordingly, Claims 59-61, 64, 65, 68 and 69 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the objected to Claim 62 has also been amended to be in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, the independent Claim 62 is allowable.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Within the Office Action, Claims 12, 26, 36, 51, and 66 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa, in view of Saito et al. (US 6,523,696).

Claim 12 is dependent on the independent Claim 1. Claim 26 is dependent on the independent Claim 15. Claim 36 is dependent on the independent Claim 29. Claim 51 is dependent on the independent Claim 43. Claim 66 is dependent on the independent Claim 58. As discussed above, the independent Claims 1, 15, 29, 43 and 58 are all allowable. Accordingly, Claims 12, 26, 36, 51, and 66 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in a condition for allowance, and allowance at an early date would be appreciated. Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned at (408) 530-9700 to discuss the same so that any outstanding issues can be expeditiously resolved.

Respectfully submitted,
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Dated: February 16, 2009

By: /Jonathan O. Owens/
Jonathan O. Owens
Reg. No. 37,902
Attorneys for Applicant(s)